UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

PEDRO MARTINEZ-TOMAS,

VS.

Defendant.

CASE NO. 15CR0108-LAB/ 16CV0526-LAB

ORDER DENYING MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 [Doc. 22]

Pedro Martinez-Tomas ("Martinez") has filed a motion to vacate his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. On November 1, 2016, the Court appointed Federal Defenders of San Diego to represent Mr. Martinez, and offered Federal Defenders an opportunity to file a supplemental brief in support of Mr. Martinez's claims by November 15, 2016. Federal Defenders did not file a supplemental brief. Also on November 1, the Court ordered the Government to respond to Mr. Martinez's motion. On November 17, 2016, Assistant U.S. Attorney Susan Park filed a response opposing Martinez's request for relief.

Ms. Park's opposition brief points out that as part of his plea agreement Martinez waived the right to appeal or to collaterally attack his conviction, provided he received no more than 27 months in custody. He got the benefit of his bargain when the Court sentenced him to 27 months. Martinez's plea agreement and the transcript of his change of plea establish that his waiver of the right to appeal and to collaterally attack his conviction

was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent. So the Court finds that the waiver is enforceable, and forecloses the relief that Martinez seeks.

Even were the Court to consider Martinez's claim on the merits, his motion would be denied. Martinez's argument is that his sentence was somehow undermined by the holding in Johnson v. United States, __ U.S. __, 135 S.Ct. 2551 (2015), but the argument is unsupported by any basis in that decision. In *Johnson*, the Supreme Court invalidated the residual clause of the Armed Career Criminal Act ("ACCA") (18 U.S.C. § 924(e)) on the ground that the clause was vague. 135 S.Ct. at 2557-58. Martinez was not sentenced under the ACCA, nor under any other residual clause. He was sentenced under a specific provision of the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("Guidelines") that provides for higher sentence if an offender was previously convicted of alien smuggling – as Martinez was. Unlike the defendant in Johnson, Martinez pled guilty to an offense that is explicitly defined and referenced under the Guidelines; his argument about vagueness misses the mark.

Martinez's waiver of the right to collaterally attack his sentence is enforceable against him, and he's got no legitimate beef in any event. His motion to vacate his sentence is DENIED.

17

18

12

13

14

15

16

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19

20 DATED: March 17, 2017

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge

am A. Bunn